**Lead Review**

All three reviewers (and myself) agree that no major changes are required for approval. However, Reviewers 1 and 2 made some potentially useful comments which you may want to consider before the actual study commences.

**Reviewer 1**

The risks identified by the authors, quoted in the following, should be clearly stated in the information sheet.

“Moreover, they should try not to blink and keep a fixed posture during the experiment. They may become bored about the task and may feel nervous at the beginning.”

Also, the location and time frame of the experiment should be made clear to the participants in the information sheet.

**Reviewer 2**

The proposed project does not raise any ethical concerns.

As a minor tweak I'd recommend adding the physical address of the Institute/Researchers to the Info sheets (62 Hillhead Street, and so on). (Lead Reviewer agrees – this is, in fact, rather customary)

Also, I would recommend proofreading the application. I was able to rule out ethical concerns based on my experience with the proposed research. However, the application is a bit sparse on details at times - e.g. it is not entirely clear what the task is - and at another occasion it may be difficult to evaluate such a proposal.

**Reviewer 3**

I have read the project application together with the information and consent forms. I do not consider that the project presents any ethical problems.